

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/829,059	SANCHEZ B. ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kwang B. Yao	2667	

All Participants:

(1) Kwang B. Yao.

(2) Sidney Weatherford.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 103 Rejection

Claims discussed:
 30

Prior art documents discussed:
 Berg et al. (US 6,680,952), Iwama et al. (US 6,600,735)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It is noted that the cited references of Berg et al. and Iwama et al. can be applied to the new claim 30. Mr. Weatherford agrees to cancel claim 30.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)